Graduating Senior Exit Survey

2005-2009



Prepared By:

nstitutional
cscarch
Texas Southern University

TEXAS SOUTHERN UNIVERSITY

Graduating Senior Exit Survey Graphics Report

Prepared by IR (The Office of Institutional Research) 9/16/09

This report provides graphical information for demographic items for Texas Southern University.

For more information about the Graduating Senior Exit Survey and other Institutional data, contact the Office of Institutional Research staff listed below.

Raijanel S. Crockem, Ed.D.

Data Manager crockem_rs@tsu.edu (713-313-1066)

Deonte' Richard

Program Staff richarddm@tsu.edu (713-313-7467)

Veon McReynolds, Ph.D.

Director mcreynolds_vx@tsu.edu (713-313-7412)

Table of Contents

Figures 1 & 2: Sample & Total Population		1
Tables 1 & 2: Demographics		2
Figures 3: First Generation Graduate State	,,c	3
Figures 5. First Generation Graduate Stati	15	3
Table 3: Overall Perception of TSU		4
Table 4: Perception of TSU Faculty		5
Table 5: Perception of Grades & Employme	ent Onnortunity	6
Tubic 3. I erception of Grades & Employme		U
		_
Table 6: Perception of Resources, Staff & A	Administration	6

Figures 4 & 5: Educational Expectations		7
		,
Figure 6: Anticipated Graduate School En	rallmant	
Figure 0. Anticipatea Oraciate School En	oumen	7
Figure 7: Transfer Status		8
Table 7: Hours Transferred to TSU,		
Prior Attainment of an Associates Degree		8
Tables 8 & 9: Current Employment Status		9
		9
Figure 9. Alumni Associated Membership		
Figure 8: Alumni Associated Membership		10
Figure 9: Financial Contributions to TSU		10
		10

Demographic Information

Figure 1: Number of Survey Respondents





Figure 2: Total Bachelor Degree Population & Percent of Respondents



*Includes August Graduates

Table 1: Demographic Variables


Gender	20	05	20	006	200)7	20	08	20	09
	Sample	TSU								
Male	30%	37%	34%	38%	31%	35%	32%	35%	30%	34%
Female	70%	63%	66%	62%	69%	65%	68%	65%	70%	66%
Ethnicity	20	05	20	006	200)7	20	08	20	09
	Sample	TSU								
Caucasian	3%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%
African American	92%	89%	93%	89%	93%	88%	91%	89%	89%	87%
Hispanic	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	5%	4%
Asian	2%	2%	<1%	1%	2%	3%	3%	3%	1%	3%
Indian	1%	0%	0%	<1%	<1%	0%	<1%	0%	1%	<1%
International/ Other	<1%	6%	1%	6%	1%	4%	2%	2%	2%	4%
School or College	20	05	20	006	200)7	20	08	20	09
	Sample	TSU								
Liberal Arts &	29%	28%	20%	26%	20%	20%	18%	21%	18%	21%
Behavioral Science										
College of	8%	7%	10%	9%	10%	10%	14%	10%	19%	10%
Education										
School of Business	27%	23%	26%	25%	26%	25%	24%	25%	21%	24%
College of	13%	8%	7%	4%	6%	9%	12%	9%	9%	11%
Pharmacy &										
Health Sciences										
Science &	13%	24%	14%	21%	17%	16%	14%	15%	14%	18%
Technology										
School of Public	10%	10%	10%	7%	8%	10%	8%	10%	9%	9%
Affairs*										
School of	-	-	12%	8%	13%	10%	10%	10%	10%	7%
Communications**										
Residence	20	05	20	006	200)7	20	08	20	09
Classification	Sample	TSU								
In-State	90%	82%	89%	86%	90%	87%	90%	87%	93%	87%
Out-of-State/	10%	18%	11%	14%	10%	13%	10%	13%	7%	13%
Foreign										

^{*}Implementation of The School of Public Affairs, ** Implementation of The School of Communications (both were previously included in Liberal Arts & Behavioral Sciences)

Table 2: Age of Graduates

Average Age	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample	Sample
Mean	30	28	28	28	29
Median	27	25	25	25	26
Mode	24	22	24	24	24

First Generation College Graduates



3

Perceptions of TSU

General Perceptions of TSU: Collectively

Table 3: Perceptions of TSU

Item	Year	$Agree^\partial$	Neutral	Disagree $^{\partial}$
Students are treated with dignity at TSU.	2005	57%	30%	13%
	2006	52%	35%	13%
	2007	51%	33%	16%
	2008	55%	31%	14%
	2009	62%	28%	10%
The mission of TSU reflects student input.	2005	57%	30%	13%
	2006	52%	36%	12%
	2007	51%	35%	14%
	2008	60%	32%	8%
	2009	61%	30%	9%
TSU has adequately prepared me to compete	2005	71%	24%	5%
in the job market.	2006	66%	26%	8%
	2007	67%	34%	9%
	2008	65%	27%	8%
	2009	73%	22%	5%
TSU helps me increase my self-confidence.	2005	61%	29%	10%
	2006	57%	29%	14%
	2007	58%	29%	13%
	2008	59%	29%	12%
	2009	65%	25%	10%
TSU has clear goals for its students.	2005	60%	31%	9%
	2006	53%	34%	13%
	2007	56%	32%	12%
	2008	57%	34%	9%
	2009	66%	27%	7%
TSU has clear expectations for its students.	2005	64%	26%	10%
	2006	58%	30%	12%
	2007	60%	30%	10%
	2008	63%	29%	8%
â m	2009	68%	25%	7%

The response categories were: strongly agree/strongly disagree and agree/disagree. Percents reported here represent the combined responses to "strongly agree" "agree" and "strongly disagree" "disagree."

Perceptions of TSU's Faculty

Table 4: Perceptions of Faculty by Graduates

Item	Year	Agree $^{\hat{c}}$	Neutral	Disagree $^{\partial}$
Faculty members at TSU give sufficient	2005	68%	23%	9%
individual attention to students in class.	2006	61%	25%	14%
	2007	63%	26%	11%
	2008	64%	24%	12%
	2009	68%	25%	7%
TSU's faculty offers high quality	2005	69%	27%	4%
teaching.	2006	66%	25%	9%
	2007	68%	25%	7%
	2008	67%	27%	6%
	2009	72%	25%	3%
I was well informed about degree	2005	66%	16%	18%
requirements early in my academic program.	2006	63%	18%	19%
1 0	2007	58%	21%	21%
	2008	57%	19%	24%
	2009	69%	17%	14%
The academic leadership in my	2005	75%	19%	6%
department was of high quality.	2006	78%	15%	7%
	2007	68%	22%	10%
	2008	73%	19%	8%
	2009	77%	17%	6%
I was satisfied with the academic advice	2005	67%	23%	10%
provided by my academic advisor.	2006	66%	23%	11%
	2007	62%	26%	12%
	2008	66%	21%	13%
	2009	69%	20%	11%
I feel that I have been treated unfairly	2005	26%	27%	47%
by TSU's faculty.	2006	26%	22%	52%
	2007	29%	26%	45%
	2008	23%	23%	54%
	2009	22%	21%	57%

The response categories were: strongly agree/strongly disagree and agree/disagree. Percents reported here represent the combined responses to "strongly agree" "agree" and "strongly disagree" "disagree."

Table 5: Grades and Employment Opportunities

Item	Year	$Agree^{\hat{c}}$	Neutral	Disagree $^{\hat{\sigma}}$
I feel good about job opportunities in the	2005	76%	17%	7%
field related to my educational major.	2006	74%	19%	7%
	2007	74%	20%	6%
	2008	72%	21%	7%
	2009	73%	21%	6%
My grades reflect the amount of effort I	2005	77%	18%	5%
put into my class work.	2006	80%	15%	5%
	2007	75%	18%	7%
	2008	78%	15%	7%
	2009	81%	15%	4%

^ôThe response categories were: strongly agree/strongly disagree and agree/disagree. Percents reported here represent the combined responses to "strongly agree" "agree" and "strongly disagree" "disagree."

Perceptions of TSU's Resources, Staff and Administration

Table 6: Resources and Administration

Item	Year	$Agree^\partial$	Neutral	Disagree $^{\hat{c}}$
The university resources to help me plan a	2005	52%	34%	14%
career were readily available and adequate.	2006	52%	31%	17%
	2007	51%	32%	17%
	2008	50%	34%	16%
	2009	55%	31%	14%
The university administration solicits feedback	2005	48%	33%	19%
from students regarding the University's effectiveness.	2006	44%	36%	20%
	2007	44%	33%	23%
	2008	49%	36%	15%
	2009	53%	33%	14%

The response categories were: strongly agree/strongly disagree and agree/disagree. Percents reported here represent the combined responses to "strongly agree" "agree" and "strongly disagree" "disagree".

Educational Expectations

Figure 4: Choose to Attend TSU Again

Figure 5: Choose to Attend TSU Again at a Specific Classification Level





Figure 6: Plan to Attend Graduate School



Transfer Students

40% 35% 30% **28% 27%** 25% 20% 15% 10% 5% 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009

Figure 7: Percentage of Graduates Who Transferred to TSU

Table 7: Hours Transferred and Prior Attainment of Associate Degree

Item	Year	Hours		
Average Credit Hours Transferred	2005	45		
	2006	44		
	2007	44		
	2008	41		
	2009	47		
		Yes	No	
Has an Associate Degree	2005	23%	77%	
	2006	19%	81%	
	2007	23%	77%	
	2008	20%	80%	
	2009	20%	80%	

Employment Expectations

Table 8: Current Employment Status

Item	Year	Full-time	Part- time	Unemployed
Currently Employed	2005	38.1%	31.8%	30.1%
	2006	43.0%	26.8%	30.2%
	2007	43.0%	32.0%	25.0%
	2008	40.0%	36.0%	24.0%
	2009	40.0%	30.0%	30.0%

Table 9: Current Occupation Related to Major Field of Study

		$\mathbf{Related}^{\widehat{c}}$	Not Related $^{\hat{c}}$
Current Position Related to Major	2005	74%	26%
	2006	71%	29%
	2007	73%	27%
	2008	61%	39%
	2009	68%	32%

^ô The response categories were: directly related, somewhat related and not related at all. Percents reported represent the combined responses to "directly related" and "somewhat related".

<u>Alumni</u>

Figure 8: Intend to Become A Member of TSU Alumni Association




Figure 9: Intend to Contribute Money to TSU

