TSU
TEXAS SOUTHERN UNIVERSITY
"EXCELLENCE IN ACHIEVEMENT"

Faculty Opinion Survey
Spring 2005-2007

Prepared By:

Institutional Effectiveness
Texas Southern University

TEXAS SOUTHERN UNIVERSITY
Faculty Survey Graphics Report

Prepared by IE (The Office of Institutional Effectiveness)
3/19/07

This report provides graphical information for demographic items for Texas Southern University. For all Likert scale items (e.g. 5 point Satisfaction scale), data are displayed with a mean satisfaction score.

For more information about the Registration Survey and other Institutional data, contact the Office of Institutional Effectiveness staff listed below.

Raijanel S. Crockem
Data Manager
crockem_rs@tsu.edu
(713-313-1066)

Veon McReynolds, Ph.D.
Interim Director
mcreynolds_vx@tsu.edu
(713-313-7412)

Maribel Handy
Data Manager
handyms@tsu.edu
(713-313-4244)

Gayla B. Thomas, Ph.D.
Senior Vice President, Enrollment Management & Planning

Table of Contents

Spring 2007 Sample

Other 19%

Prof. 24%

Assist. Prof. 24%

Assoc. Prof. 34%

Spring 2007 *TSU Population*

Other 69%

Prof. 17%

Assoc. Prof. 11%

Assist. Prof. 8%

Figure 1& 2-Background Information, Current Rank

Rank	2005	2006	2007	TSU Total Population
		Percentages		
Prof.	26.6	26.4	23.7	11.3
Assoc. Prof.	26.6	20.1	33.6	11.0
Asst. Prof.	25.7	32.6	23.7	8.3
Other	21.1	20.9	19.1	69.5
Total N	110	154	138	629

Spring 2007 Sample

Male
48%

Female
52%

Spring 2007 TSU Population

Male
54%

Female
46%

Figure 3 & 4-Background Information, Gender

Gender	2005	2006	2007	TSU Total Population
			Percentages	
Male	52.8	56.2	48	54
Female	47.2	43.8	52	46

Spring 2007 Sample

Non-ten.
Track
22%

Tenured
46%

Tenure-
Track

32%

Spring 2007 TSU Population

Non-ten.
Track
68%

Tenured
28%

Tenure-
Track
4%

Figure 5 & 6-Background Information, Tenure Status

Enrollment Status	2005	2006	2007	TSU Total Population
			Percentages	
Tenured	50.0	43.2	45.8	28.3
Tenure-Track	25.6	27.1	32.2	3.9
Non-tenured Track	24.4	29.7	22.0	67.8

Spring 2007 Sample

Figure 7 -Background Information,

Years of Teaching Experience	2005	2006	2007
		Percentages	
Greater than 20 years	*34.3*	*30.9*	*33.6*
11 to 20 years	*26.9*	*28.3*	*26.3*
6 to 10 years	*17.6*	*16.4*	*19.7*
5 or Less years	*21.0*	*24.3*	*20.4*

Spring 2007 Sample

Figure 8 -Background Information,

Years of Service at TSU	2005	2006	2007
		Percentages	
Greater than 20 years	*28.7*	*26.7*	*27.0*
11 to 20 years	*25.0*	*20.7*	*17.5*
6 to 10 years	*13.9*	*14.7*	*17.5*
5 or Less years	*32.4*	*38.0*	*38.0*

Figure 9-Background Information, School or College

School or College	2005	2006	2007
		Percentages	
A. Liberal Arts & Behavioral Sciences	36.7	33.1	37.3
B. Education	10.1	7.0	17.9
C. Pharmacy & Health Science	7.3	9.9	6.7
D. Business	12.8	23.2	7.5
E. Law	9.2	13.4	4.5
F. Science & Technology	22.0	12.0	16.4
G. Public Affairs	1.8	1.4	3.7
H. Communications	-	-	6.0

4

Figure 10-Faculty Use of Technology, Age of PC

Age of PC	2005	2006	2007
		Percentages	
A. *>1 year*	*30.4*	*25.2*	*9.6*
B. *More than one but less than 3*	*44.1*	*56.7*	*53.6*
C. *More than 3 but less than 5*	*12.7*	*11.0*	*26.4*
D. *More than 5*	*12.8*	*7.1*	*10.4*

Figure 11-Faculty Use of Technology, Use of PC as a Teaching Aid

Figure 12-Perceptions of General University Processes, Faculty Indicating Agreement With Statement

Item	2005	2006	2007
Top 5 Ratings		***Percentages***	
43 *Faculty Input Important at Departmental Level*	*85.8*	*89.5*	*81.7*
42b *Faculty Input Important at Dean Level*	*76.9*	*87.6*	*78.2*
67 *Familiarity with President's 5 Vision Points*[∂]	*83.3*	*84.0*	*76.7*
10 *Budget Enrollment Driven*	*74.3*	*85.1*	*63.6*
18 *Planning Process Encourages Participation*	*60.6*	*68.3*	*60.6*
Bottom 5 Ratings			
38 *Faculty Assembly Influential In Institutional Policy*	*53.1*	*52.8*	*54.7*
40 *Faculty Input Important at Board Level*	*60.2*	*64.3*	*54.3*
20 *Consistently Informed About Institutional Policy*	*57.4*	*62*	*46.6*
14 *Faculty Informed About Major Issues*	*67.3*	*76.6*	*45.5*
12 *Budget Allocations Adequate*	*26.9*	*33.3*	*21.8*

[∂] The response categories were: very familiar, familiar, and unfamiliar. Percents reported here represent the combined responses to "very familiar" and "familiar".

Level of Satisfaction

SCALE

1-Very Dissatisfied   2-Dissatisfied   3-Neutral   4-Satisfied   5-Very Satisfied

Figure 13-Satisfaction Ratings of Services Provided by TSU

Item		2005	2006	2007
Top 5 Mean Ratings			*Mean Rating*	
27	*School or College Administration Satisfaction*	3.60	3.83	3.47
26	*Departmental Administration Satisfaction*	3.73	3.82	3.36
24	*Library Services Satisfaction: Hours of Operation-Staff-etc.*	3.55	3.62	3.28
25	*Departmental Curriculum Planning Satisfaction*	3.64	3.62	3.24
32c	*Services Provided During: Registration*	3.21	3.32	3.07
Bottom 5 Mean Ratings				
23	*Library Resources Satisfaction: ERIC-Journals-etc.*	3.07	3.07	2.94
28	*Central Administration Satisfaction*	3.38	3.54	2.86
30	*Overall Maintenance of Buildings & Grounds Satisfaction*	2.99	3.15	2.76
29	*Maintenance of Classrooms & Labs Satisfaction*	2.85	3.08	2.65
31	*Availability of State of The Art Technology Satisfaction*	2.72	2.84	2.52