



TEXAS SOUTHERN UNIVERSITY
"EXCELLENCE IN ACHIEVEMENT"



Faculty Opinion Survey Spring 2006-2008

Prepared By:

Institutional
Effectiveness

Texas Southern University

TEXAS SOUTHERN UNIVERSITY
Faculty Survey Graphics Report

Prepared by IE (The Office of Institutional Effectiveness)
3/10/08

This report provides graphical information for demographic items for Texas Southern University. For all Likert scale items (e.g. 5 point Satisfaction scale), data are displayed with a mean satisfaction score.

For more information about the Registration Survey and other Institutional data, contact the Office of Institutional Effectiveness staff listed below.


Raijanel S. Crockem
Data Manager
crockem_rs@tsu.edu
(713-313-1066)

Veon McReynolds, Ph.D.
Interim Director
mcreynolds_vx@tsu.edu
(713-313-7412)

Table of Contents

<i>Figures 1 & 2: Rank</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>Figures 3 & 4: Gender</i>	<i>2</i>
<i>Figures 5 & 6: Tenure Status</i>	<i>2</i>
<i>Figures 7: Teaching Experience</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>Figures 8: Years of Teaching Experience at TSU</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>Figures 9: School or College</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>Figure 10: Faculty Use of Technology, Age of PC</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>Figure 11: Faculty Use of Technology, Use a PC as an Aid</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>Figure 12: Perceptions of General University Process</i>	<i>6</i>
<i>Figure 13: Satisfaction Rating of Services Provided</i>	<i>7</i>

Spring 2008 Sample



Spring 2008 TSU Population




Figure 1& 2-Background Information, Current Rank

<i>Rank</i>	<i>2006</i>	<i>2007</i>	<i>2008</i>	<i>TSU Total Population</i>
	<i>Percentages</i>			
<i>Prof.</i>	26.4	23.7	22.1	11.7
<i>Assoc. Prof.</i>	20.1	33.6	27.9	13.4
<i>Asst. Prof.</i>	32.6	23.7	26.7	15.7
<i>Other</i>	20.9	19.1	23.3	59.2
<i>Total N</i>	154	138	89	605





Figure 3 & 4-Background Information, Gender

<i>Gender</i>	<i>2006</i>	<i>2007</i>	<i>2008</i>	<i>TSU Total Population</i>
	<i>Percentages</i>			
<i>Male</i>	56.2	48	48.8	54
<i>Female</i>	43.8	52	51.2	46





Figure 5 & 6-Background Information, Tenure Status

<i>Enrollment Status</i>	<i>2006</i>	<i>2007</i>	<i>2008</i>	<i>TSU Total Population</i>
	<i>Percentages</i>			
<i>Tenured</i>	43.2	45.8	51.2	29.6
<i>Tenure-Track</i>	27.1	32.2	25.6	13.1
<i>Non-tenured Track</i>	29.7	22.0	23.2	57.4

Spring 2008 Sample




Figure 7 -Background Information,

<i>Years of Teaching Experience</i>	2006	2007	2008
	<i>Percentages</i>		
<i>Greater than 20 years</i>	30.9	33.6	37.2
<i>11 to 20 years</i>	28.3	26.3	26.8
<i>6 to 10 years</i>	16.4	19.7	20.9
<i>5 or Less years</i>	24.3	20.4	15.1

Spring 2008 Sample




Figure 8 -Background Information,

<i>Years of Service at TSU</i>	2006	2007	2008
	<i>Percentages</i>		
<i>Greater than 20 years</i>	26.7	27.0	28.4
<i>11 to 20 years</i>	20.7	17.5	21.6
<i>6 to 10 years</i>	14.7	17.5	19.3
<i>5 or Less years</i>	38.0	38.0	30.7

Spring 2008 Sample




Figure 9-Background Information, School or College

<i>School or College</i>	<i>2006</i>	<i>2007</i>	<i>2008</i>
	<i>Percentages</i>		
<i>A. Liberal Arts & Behavioral Sciences</i>	<i>33.1</i>	<i>37.3</i>	<i>26.4</i>
<i>B. Education</i>	<i>7.0</i>	<i>17.9</i>	<i>17.2</i>
<i>C. Pharmacy & Health Science</i>	<i>9.9</i>	<i>6.7</i>	<i>10.3</i>
<i>D. Business</i>	<i>23.2</i>	<i>7.5</i>	<i>12.6</i>
<i>E. Law</i>	<i>13.4</i>	<i>4.5</i>	<i>0.0</i>
<i>F. Science & Technology</i>	<i>12.0</i>	<i>16.4</i>	<i>19.5</i>
<i>G. Public Affairs</i>	<i>1.4</i>	<i>3.7</i>	<i>5.7</i>
<i>H. Communications</i>	<i>-</i>	<i>6.0</i>	<i>8.0</i>

Spring 2008 Sample




Figure 10-Faculty Use of Technology, Age of PC

<i>Age of PC</i>	<i>2006</i>	<i>2007</i>	<i>2008</i>
	<i>Percentages</i>		
<i>A. >1 year</i>	25.2	9.6	10.8
<i>B. More than one but less than 3</i>	56.7	53.6	41.0
<i>C. More than 3 but less than 5</i>	11.0	26.4	31.3
<i>D. More than 5</i>	7.1	10.4	16.9

Figure 11-Faculty Use of Technology, Use of PC as a Teaching Aid




Figure 12-Perceptions of General University Processes, Faculty Indicating Agreement With Statement

<i>Item</i>	<i>2006</i>	<i>2007</i>	<i>2008</i>
Top 5 Ratings			
Percentages			
<i>43 Faculty Input Important at Departmental Level</i>	89.5	81.7	84.7
<i>67 Familiarity with President's 5 Vision Points^o</i>	84.0	76.7	77.4
<i>42b Faculty Input Important at Dean Level</i>	87.6	78.2	76.5
<i>10 Budget Enrollment Driven</i>	85.1	63.6	74.4
<i>41 Faculty Input Important at Executive Level</i>	70.4	66.7	54.8
Bottom 5 Ratings			
<i>40 Faculty Input Important at Board Level</i>	64.3	54.3	45.6
<i>38 Faculty Assembly Influential In Institutional Policy</i>	52.8	54.7	40.5
<i>14 Faculty Informed About Major Issues</i>	76.6	45.5	38.7
<i>20 Consistently Informed About Institutional Policy</i>	62	46.6	32.1
<i>12 Budget Allocations Adequate</i>	33.3	21.8	12.5

^oThe response categories were: very familiar, familiar, and unfamiliar. Percents reported here represent the combined responses to “very familiar” and “familiar”.






Figure 13-Satisfaction Ratings of Services Provided by TSU

<i>Item</i>	<i>2006</i>	<i>2007</i>	<i>2008</i>
Top 5 Mean Ratings			
	Mean Rating		
26 <i>Departmental Administration Satisfaction</i>	3.82	3.36	3.46
25 <i>Departmental Curriculum Planning Satisfaction</i>	3.62	3.24	3.42
27 <i>School or College Administration Satisfaction</i>	3.83	3.47	3.35
32c <i>Services Provided During: Registration</i>	3.32	3.07	3.15
32d <i>Services Provided During: Record Maintenance</i>	2.71	2.98	3.07
Bottom 5 Mean Ratings			
32a <i>Services Provided During: Recruitment</i>	2.69	2.95	2.73
29 <i>Maintenance of Classrooms & Labs Satisfaction</i>	3.08	2.65	2.63
30 <i>Overall Maintenance of Buildings & Grounds Satisfaction</i>	3.15	2.76	2.52
31 <i>Availability of State of The Art Technology Satisfaction</i>	2.84	2.52	2.41
23 <i>Library Resources Satisfaction: ERIC-Journals-etc.</i>	3.07	2.94	2.36

